

Kelly Betting

Want to bet on basketball games over the course of an entire NBA season and beyond.

Initial bankroll $B = \$100$

P_i = true prob. that team A_i wins against team B_i (assume known)

decimal odds α_{A_i} = # dollars returned for a \$1 bet on team A_i

= 1 + Profit from a \$1 bet on A_i if it hits

Q How should we bet?

Maximize EV

EV of a \$1 bet on team A :


$$\begin{aligned} EV_A &= E(\text{Profit if bet on } A) = (\text{Prob. } A \text{ wins}) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Profit} \\ \text{if } A \text{ wins} \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Prob. } A \\ \text{loses} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Profit} \\ \text{if } A \\ \text{loses} \end{array} \right) \\ &= P(\alpha_A - 1) + (1 - P)(-1) = P\alpha_A - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Traditional approach:

If $EV_A < 0$, on average you'll lose money, don't bet

If $EV_A > 0$, on average you'll profit, bet

But what if $EV_A > 0$ and you bet your entire bankroll?

You will be depressed if you lose. 

How do we rectify this?

Suppose each game is $\pm EV$, bet $\frac{B}{N}$ on each and that will be $\pm EV$ and also you're much less likely to be depressed.

Makes money on average.

Can we do better?

Can we take advantage of the sequential nature

of the bets? Compounding: if I make money on the first bet, I'll want to use that money to bet in the next game.

Bet a fraction $f \in [0, 1]$ of our bankroll $\$B$.

Bet size $B \cdot f_1$ on team A_1 in game 1

$$\text{Profit} = \begin{cases} +(\alpha_{A_1} - 1) \cdot B f_1 & \text{w.p. } p \quad (A_1 \text{ wins}) \\ -B \cdot f_1 & \text{w.p. } 1-p \quad (A_1 \text{ loses}) \end{cases}$$

$$= B \cdot f_1 \cdot (\alpha_{A_1} X_1 - 1)$$

$$X_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A_1 \text{ wins (w.p. } p) \\ 0 & \text{if } A_1 \text{ loses (w.p. } 1-p) \end{cases}$$

Profit after 1st bet

What do we want to maximize?

↳ Maximize Bankroll after N bets.

After 1 bet: $B + B \cdot f_1 \cdot (d_{A_1} X_1 - 1)$

After 2 bets: $B [1 + f_1 (d_{A_1} X_1 - 1)]$

$B [1 + f_1 (d_{A_1} X_1 - 1)]$ initial bankroll

$+ B [1 + f_1 (d_{A_1} X_1 - 1)] \cdot f_2 (d_{A_2} X_2 - 1)$ profit

$= B [1 + f_1 (d_{A_1} X_1 - 1)] [1 + f_2 (d_{A_2} X_2 - 1)]$

After N bets: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} B = \text{initial bankroll} \\ f_i = \text{fraction of bankroll bet on team } A_i \\ d_{A_i} = \text{decimal odds} = 1 + \text{profit if hit \$1 bet on } A_i \\ X_i = \text{game outcome} = 1 \text{ if } A_i \text{ wins else } 0 \end{array} \right.$

$$\text{Bankroll}_N = B \prod_{i=1}^N \{1 + f_i (d_{A_i} X_i - 1)\}$$

$$= B (1 + f_1 (d_{A_1} X_1 - 1)) \cdot (1 + f_2 (d_{A_2} X_2 - 1)) \cdot \dots$$

Known fixed constant \leftarrow $B =$ initial bankroll
 want to find \leftarrow $f_i =$ fraction of bankroll bet on team A_i
 random variable \leftarrow $d_{A_i} =$ decimal odds = 1 + profit if hit \$1 bet on A_i
 $X_i =$ game outcome = 1 if A_i wins else 0

$$\text{Bankroll}_N = B \prod_{i=1}^N \{1 + f_i (d_{A_i} X_i - 1)\}$$

Bankroll_N is a random variable

Want to maximize expected bankroll \rightarrow a number

$$\text{argmax}_{f = (f_1, \dots, f_N)} \mathbb{E}[\text{Bankroll}_N]$$

$$= \text{argmax}_f \mathbb{E} \left[B \prod_{i=1}^N \{1 + f_i (d_{A_i} X_i - 1)\} \right]$$

Good luck.

$$= \text{argmax}_f \log \left(\mathbb{E} \left[B \prod_{i=1}^N \{1 + f_i (d_{A_i} X_i - 1)\} \right] \right)$$

since log is monotonic increasing

$\log \mathbb{E} g(X) \neq \mathbb{E} \log g(X)$ for most g 's

Kelly (Eitan): Who cares just do it anyways

Instead, find

$$\operatorname{argmax}_f \mathbb{E} \left[\log \left(B \prod_{i=1}^N \{1 + f_i(d_{A_i} X_i - 1)\} \right) \right]$$

Shannon-McMillan-Breiman Thm 1950s:

the f that maximizes expected log bankroll
grows more money asymptotically as $N \rightarrow \infty$
than any other allocation f' .

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_f \mathbb{E} \left[\cancel{\log(B)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \{1 + f_i(d_{A_i} X_i - 1)\} \right]$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_f \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \log(1 + f_i(d_{A_i} X_i - 1))$$

$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } p \\ d_{A_i} & \text{w.p. } 1-p \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{(f_1, \dots, f_N)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[p \cdot \log(1 + f_i(d_{A_i} - 1)) + (1-p) \cdot \log(1 - f_i) \right]$$



$$\operatorname{argmax}_{f_i} P \cdot \log(1 + f_i(\alpha_{A_i} - 1)) + (1 - P) \cdot \log(1 - f_i)$$

Calculus.

$$0 = \frac{d}{df_i} \left[P \cdot \log(1 + f_i(\alpha_{A_i} - 1)) + (1 - P) \cdot \log(1 - f_i) \right]$$

$$0 = \frac{\alpha - 1}{1 + f(\alpha - 1)} \cdot P - (1 - P) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - f}$$

$$\frac{1 - P}{1 - f} = \frac{P(\alpha - 1)}{1 + f(\alpha - 1)}$$

$$(1 - P)(1 + f(\alpha - 1)) = (1 - f)(P(\alpha - 1))$$

$$f(1 - P)(\alpha - 1) + (1 - P) = -fP(\alpha - 1) + P(\alpha - 1)$$

$$f \left[(1 - P)(\alpha - 1) + P(\alpha - 1) \right] = P(\alpha - 1) - (1 - P) = \frac{P\alpha - P}{-1 + P} = P\alpha - 1$$

$$f = \frac{P\alpha - 1}{\alpha - 1} \rightarrow$$

$$f_i = \max\left(0, \frac{P_i \alpha_{A_i} - 1}{\alpha_{A_i} - 1}\right)$$

Kelly Fraction

— the fraction of your bankroll you should bet on game i to maximize expected log wealth assuming true WP is known.

exs

• If $p=1$ (guaranteed to hit the bet), $f=1$
(bet entire bankroll)

• If $p < 1$, then $\frac{pd-1}{d-1} < \frac{1 \cdot d-1}{d-1} = 1$
if not guaranteed to win, then don't bet
all your bankroll

• If $p=0$, $f=0$

• If the odds are fair, $d = \frac{1}{p}$ (eg. $p = \frac{1}{2}$
 $d = 2 = 1+1$)
[$d = 1 + \text{Profit of a \$1 bet if it hits}$]

and $\frac{pd-1}{d-1} = \frac{p(\frac{1}{p})-1}{\frac{1}{p}-1} = 0$

• If you have an edge $\delta > 0$, $d = \frac{1}{p} + \delta$, then

$$\frac{pd-1}{d-1} = \frac{p(\frac{1}{p} + \delta) - 1}{(\frac{1}{p} + \delta) - 1} = \left[\frac{\delta}{\frac{1}{p} - 1 + \delta} \right] \frac{(\frac{1}{\delta})}{(\frac{1}{\delta})} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{p-1}{\delta}}$$

as edge \uparrow , kelly fraction $f \uparrow$
"bet your edge"

In practice, the win probability p of a team winning a game is unknown/unobservable.

It needs to be estimated from data, \hat{p} .

How does kelly betting change under this regime?

Ideally the estimator \hat{p} is unbiased $E\hat{p} = p$
but subject to some uncertainty

The more uncertain we are in our estimate
the less we should bet. $\text{VAR}(\hat{p}) = \tau^2$

Fractional Kelly says bet a fraction $k \in [0, 1]$
of the kelly fraction f , $f \leftarrow f \cdot k$
'Half Kelly' "Quarter Kelly"